

ON THE NUMBER OF DISTINCT PRIME FACTORS OF $nj + a^h k$

HAO PAN

ABSTRACT. Let $\omega(n)$ denote the number of distinct prime factors of n . Then for any given $K \geq 2$, small $\epsilon > 0$ and sufficiently large (only depending on K and ϵ) x , there exist at least $x^{1-\epsilon}$ integers $n \in [x, (1+K^{-1})x]$ such that $\omega(nj \pm a^h k) \geq (\log \log \log x)^{\frac{1}{3}-\epsilon}$ for all $2 \leq a \leq K$, $1 \leq j, k \leq K$ and $0 \leq h \leq K \log x$.

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1849, Polignac conjectured that every odd integer greater than 3 is the sum of a prime and a power of 2. However, 127 is an evident counterexample for Polignac's conjecture. In 1950, van der Corput [2] proved that there are a positive proportion of positive odd integers not of the form $p + 2^h$ with p is prime and $h \in \mathbb{N}$. In fact, using covering congruences, Erdős [3] constructed a residue class of odd integers, which contains no integers of the form $p + 2^h$.

In 1975, using Erdős' idea, Cohen and Selfridge [1] found a residue class of odd integers, whose every elements can not be representable as $\pm p^\alpha \pm 2^h$. And another example with a smaller modulus may be found in [7]. Recently, using Selberg's sieve method, Tao [8] proved that for any give integer $K \geq 2$, there exist at least $c_K x / \log x$ primes p in the interval $[x, (1 + K^{-1})x]$ satisfying $|pj \pm a^h k|$ is composite for every $2 \leq a \leq K$, $1 \leq j, k \leq K$ and $1 \leq h \leq K \log x$, where c_K is a constant only depending on K .

Let $\omega(n)$ denote the number of distinct prime factors of n . In [4], Erdős mentioned that if there exist incongruent covering systems with arbitrarily large least modulus, then for any integer $K \geq 2$, the set

$$\{n \geq 1 : n \text{ is odd and is not of the form } q + 2^h \text{ with } \omega(q) \leq K \text{ and } h \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

contains an infinite arithmetic progression. By modifying Tao's discussions, in this paper, we shall prove that

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose that $K \geq 2$ is an integer and $\epsilon > 0$ is small number. Then for sufficiently large (only depending on K and ϵ) x , there exist at least $x^{1-\epsilon}$ integers $n \in [x, (1 + K^{-1})x]$ such that $\omega(nj \pm a^h k) \geq (\log \log \log x)^{\frac{1}{3}-\epsilon}$ for all $2 \leq a \leq K$, $1 \leq j, k \leq K$ and $0 \leq h \leq K \log x$.*

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11P32; Secondary 11A07, 11B05, 11B25, 11N36.
Key words and phrases. prime factor, power of 2.

The author is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 10771135).

The proof of Theorem 1.1 will be given in the next section. And unless indicated otherwise, the constants implied by $\ll$, $\gg$ and $O(\cdot)$ only depend on K and ϵ .

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

The following lemma is the well-known Mertens theorem.

Lemma 2.1 ([6, Theorems 6.6, 6.7, 6.8]).

$$\sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p \text{ prime}}} \frac{\log p}{p} = \log x + c_1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log x}\right),$$

$$\sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p \text{ prime}}} \frac{1}{p} = \log \log x + c_2 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log x}\right),$$

and

$$\prod_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p \text{ prime}}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{-1} = e^\gamma \log x + O(1),$$

where γ is the Euler constant and c_1, c_2 are absolute constants.

Define

$$\mathcal{P}(z) = \prod_{\substack{p < z \\ p \text{ prime}}} p.$$

Lemma 2.2. Suppose that $A, B > 100$ and $z > e^{100A}$. Suppose that ω is a function satisfying

$$0 \leq \omega(p) \leq \min\{A, (1 - B^{-1})p\}$$

for any prime p . Then

$$\frac{1}{G(z)} \leq A^{2AB} W(z),$$

where

$$G(z) = \sum_{\substack{d | \mathcal{P}(z) \\ d < z}} \prod_{p | d} \frac{\omega(p)}{p - \omega(p)}$$

and

$$W(z) = \prod_{\substack{p < z \\ p \text{ prime}}} \left(1 - \frac{\omega(p)}{p}\right).$$

Proof. Define

$$G(\xi, z) = \sum_{\substack{d|\mathcal{P}(z) \\ d < \xi}} \prod_{p|d} \frac{\omega(p)}{p - \omega(p)}$$

for $\xi \geq z$. Then by the discussions in the proof of [5, Lemma 4.1], for any $\sigma \leq 1$, we have

$$1 - W(z)G(\xi, z) \leq \exp \left(-(1 - \sigma) \log \xi + \sum_{\substack{p < z \\ p \text{ prime}}} \left(\frac{1}{p^\sigma} - \frac{1}{p} \right) \omega(p) \right).$$

Letting $\sigma = 1 - 1/\log z$, we get

$$\sum_{\substack{p < z \\ p \text{ prime}}} \left(\frac{1}{p^\sigma} - \frac{1}{p} \right) \omega(p) = \sum_{\substack{p < z \\ p \text{ prime}}} \frac{\omega(p)}{p} (e^{\frac{\log p}{\log z}} - 1) \leq \frac{e - 1}{\log z} \sum_{\substack{p < z \\ p \text{ prime}}} \frac{\omega(p) \log p}{p} \leq eA.$$

Hence

$$1 - W(z)G(\xi, z) \leq \exp \left(-\frac{\log \xi}{\log z} + eA \right). \quad (2.1)$$

Letting $C = eA + 1$, by (2.1), we have

$$W(z^{\frac{1}{C}})G(z, z^{\frac{1}{C}}) \geq 1 - e^{-C+eA} \geq 1/2.$$

And

$$\log W(z^{\frac{1}{C}}) - \log W(z) = - \sum_{\substack{z^{\frac{1}{C}} \leq p < z \\ p \text{ prime}}} \log(1 - \omega(p)/p) \leq B \sum_{\substack{z^{\frac{1}{C}} \leq p < z \\ p \text{ prime}}} \frac{\omega(p)}{p} \leq \frac{3}{2} AB \log C.$$

Thus

$$W(z)G(z, z) \geq \frac{W(z)}{W(z^{\frac{1}{C}})} \cdot W(z^{\frac{1}{C}})G(z, z^{\frac{1}{C}}) \geq \frac{1}{2} C^{-\frac{3}{2}AB}.$$

Hence by (2.1).

$$\frac{1}{G(z, z)} \leq W(z) \left(1 + \frac{1}{W(z)G(z, z)} \exp(-1 + eA) \right) \leq A^{2AB} W(z).$$

□

Let ϕ denote the Euler totient function.

Lemma 2.3. *Suppose that $W, b \geq 1$ with $(W, b) = 1$. Suppose that $x \geq 1$ and $1 \leq z \leq x^{\frac{1}{3}}$. Then*

$$|\{1 \leq n \leq x : (Wn + b, \mathcal{P}(z)) = 1\}| \ll \frac{x}{\log z} \cdot \frac{W}{\phi(W)}.$$

In particular,

$$|\{1 \leq n \leq x : Wn + b \text{ is prime}\}| \ll \frac{x}{\log x} \cdot \frac{W}{\phi(W)}.$$

Proof. This is a simple application of the Selberg sieve method (cf. [5, Theorems 3.2 and 4.1]). $\square$

Lemma 2.4. *Suppose that $x \geq 1$ and $1 \leq k \leq \log \log x$. Suppose that $W, b \geq 1$ and $(W, b) = 1$. If $W \leq x^{\frac{1}{2k}}$ and $\log x \leq x^{\frac{1}{6k}}$, then*

$$|\{1 \leq n \leq x : \omega(Wn + b) = k\}| \leq \frac{C^k x (\log \log x)^{k-1}}{\log x} \cdot \frac{W}{\phi(W)},$$

where $C > 0$ is a constant.

Proof. We use induction on k . The case $k = 1$ easily follows from Lemma 2.3. Suppose that $k \geq 2$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & |\{1 \leq n \leq x : \omega(Wn + b) = k\}| \\ \leq & \sum_{\substack{1 \leq p \leq x^{\frac{1}{3k}}, p \nmid W \\ \alpha \geq 1, p^\alpha \leq x^{\frac{1}{k}}}} |\{1 \leq n \leq x/p^\alpha : \omega(Wn + b') = k-1, \text{ where } b' \text{ satisfies } b'p^\alpha \equiv b \pmod{W}\}| \\ & + \sum_{\substack{p \leq x^{\frac{1}{3k}}, p \nmid W \\ \alpha \geq 1, p^\alpha \geq x^{\frac{1}{k}}}} |\{1 \leq n \leq x : Wn + b \equiv 0 \pmod{p^\alpha}, ((Wn + b)/p^\alpha, p) = 1\}| \\ & + |\{1 \leq n \leq x : (Wn + b, \mathcal{P}(x^{\frac{1}{3k}})) = 1\}|. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.3,

$$|\{1 \leq n \leq x : (Wn + b, \mathcal{P}(x^{\frac{1}{3k}})) = 1\}| \leq \frac{c_1 x}{\log(x^{\frac{1}{3k}})} \prod_{p|W} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{-1}$$

for some constant $c_1 > 0$. And

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{p \leq x^{\frac{1}{3k}}, p \nmid W \\ \alpha \geq 1, p^\alpha \geq x^{\frac{1}{k}}}} |\{1 \leq n \leq x : Wn + b \equiv 0 \pmod{p^\alpha}, ((Wn + b)/p^\alpha, p) = 1\}| \\ \leq & 2 \sum_{\substack{p \leq x^{\frac{1}{3k}} \\ \alpha \geq 3, x^{\frac{1}{k}} \leq p^\alpha \leq x}} \frac{x}{p^\alpha} + \sum_{p \leq x^{\frac{1}{3k}}} 1 = O\left(x^{1-\frac{1}{k}} \cdot \frac{x^{\frac{1}{3k}}}{\log(x^{\frac{1}{3k}})} \cdot \log x\right) \leq c_2 k x^{1-\frac{2}{3k}} \end{aligned}$$

for some $c_2 > 0$. Further, by Lemma 2.1, for

$$\sum_{\substack{p \leq x^{\frac{1}{3k}} \\ p \text{ prime}}} \frac{1}{p-1} \leq c_3 \log \log x$$

for some $c_3 > 0$. Choose $C \geq 2(c_1 + c_2 + c_3)$. Notice that for $p^\alpha < x^{\frac{1}{k}}$,

$$x/p^\alpha \geq x^{\frac{k-1}{k}} \geq \max\{W^{2(k-1)}, (\log x)^{6(k-1)}\}$$

and

$$k-1 \leq \log \log x - 1 = \log \log(x^{\frac{1}{e}}) \leq \log \log(x/p^\alpha).$$

So by the induction hypothesis,

$$|\{1 \leq n \leq x/p^\alpha : \omega(Wn + b') = k-1\}| \leq \frac{C^{k-1}x(\log \log(x/p^\alpha))^{k-2}}{p^\alpha \log(x/p^\alpha)} \cdot \frac{W}{\phi(W)}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & |\{1 \leq n \leq x : \omega(Wn + b) = k\}| \\ & \leq \frac{2C^{k-1}Wx(\log \log x)^{k-2}}{\phi(W) \log x} \sum_{\substack{p \leq x^{\frac{1}{2k}} \\ p \text{ prime}}} \frac{1}{p-1} + \frac{2c_1 kx}{\phi(W) \log x} + c_2 x^{1-\frac{2}{3k}} \log \log x \\ & \leq \frac{C^k x (\log \log x)^{k-1}}{\log x} \cdot \frac{W}{\phi(W)}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Now suppose that x is sufficiently large. Let $L = \lfloor (\log \log \log x)^{\frac{1}{3}-\epsilon} \rfloor + 1$ and $Q = \exp((\log \log x)^{1-\epsilon})$. Clearly $L \ll (\log \log Q)^{\frac{1}{3}-\epsilon}$. Let

$$\mathcal{R} = \{(a, j, k, l) : 2 \leq a \leq K, 1 \leq j, |k| \leq K, 1 \leq l \leq L\}$$

and $M = \lfloor 16K^2 \rfloor + 1$. Clearly M is a constant only depending on K , and $ML \geq 16K^2L = 8|\mathcal{R}|$.

Below we shall choose some distinct primes

$$p_{\mathbf{r},t}, \mathbf{r} \in \mathcal{R}, 1 \leq t \leq T_{\mathbf{r}}$$

in the interval $[\exp((\log Q)^{\frac{4}{ML}}), Q]$ satisfying that

$$\frac{1}{2}(\log Q)^{\frac{1}{ML}} \leq \prod_{t=1}^{T_{\mathbf{r}}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_{\mathbf{r},t}}\right)^{-1} \leq \frac{3}{2}(\log Q)^{\frac{1}{ML}} \quad (2.2)$$

for any fixed $\mathbf{r} \in \mathcal{R}$. And assume that we have chosen primes $p_{\mathbf{r},t}, 1 \leq t \leq T_{\mathbf{r}}$ for some $\mathbf{r} = (a, j, k, l) \in \mathcal{R}$. Then for any $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, T_{\mathbf{r}}\}$, let

$$m_{\mathbf{r},I} = \prod_{t \in I} p_{\mathbf{r},t}$$

and $q_{\mathbf{r},I}$ be the largest primitive prime factor of $a^{m_{\mathbf{r},I}} - 1$, i.e., $q_{\mathbf{r},I} \mid a^{m_{\mathbf{r},I}} - 1$ but $q_{\mathbf{r},I} \nmid a^m - 1$ for any $1 \leq m < m_{\mathbf{r},I}$. In particular, we set $m_{\mathbf{r},\emptyset} = 1$.

First, let $p_{\mathbf{r},t}$, $\mathbf{r} = (2, j, k, l) \in \mathcal{R}$, $1 \leq t \leq T_{\mathbf{r}}$ be distinct primes in the interval $[\exp((\log Q)^{\frac{4}{ML}}), \exp((\log Q)^{\frac{4+4K^2L}{ML}})]$ satisfying that

$$\frac{1}{2}(\log Q)^{\frac{1}{ML}} \leq \prod_{t=1}^{T_{\mathbf{r}}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_{\mathbf{r},t}}\right)^{-1} \leq \frac{3}{2}(\log Q)^{\frac{1}{ML}}.$$

Suppose that $a > 2$ and we have chosen distinct prime $p_{\mathbf{r}',t}$, $1 \leq t \leq T_{\mathbf{r}'}$ in the interval $[\exp((\log Q)^{\frac{4}{ML}}), \exp((\log Q)^{\frac{4+8(a-1)K^2L}{ML}})]$ for every $\mathbf{r}' = (a', j, k, l) \in \mathcal{R}$ with $2 \leq a' < a$. Let

$$w_a = \prod_{\substack{\mathbf{r}'=(a',j,k,l) \in \mathcal{R} \\ 2 \leq a' < a}} \prod_{\substack{I \subseteq \{1, \dots, T_{\mathbf{r}'}\} \\ 1 \leq |I| \leq 2ML^2}} (q_{\mathbf{r}',I} - 1).$$

Clearly,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\log(w_a)}{\log a} &\leq \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{r}'=(a',j,k,l) \in \mathcal{R} \\ 2 \leq a' < a}} \sum_{\substack{I \subseteq \{1, \dots, T_{\mathbf{r}'}\} \\ 1 \leq |I| \leq 2ML^2}} m_{\mathbf{r}',I} \leq \left(\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{r}'=(a',j,k,l) \in \mathcal{R} \\ 2 \leq a' < a}} \sum_{t=1}^{T_{\mathbf{r}'}} p_{\mathbf{r}',t} \right)^{2ML^2} \\ &\leq (\exp((\log Q)^{\frac{4+8(a-1)K^2L}{ML}})^2)^{2ML^2} = \exp(4ML^2(\log Q)^{\frac{4+8(a-1)K^2L}{ML}}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we get

$$\omega(w_a) \leq \frac{\log(w_a)}{\log 2} \leq \exp(5ML^2(\log Q)^{\frac{4+8(a-1)K^2L}{ML}}) \leq \exp((\log Q)^{\frac{5+8(a-1)K^2L}{ML}}),$$

by noting that

$$\begin{aligned} \log(5ML^2(\log Q)^{\frac{4+8(a-1)K^2L}{ML}}) &= \log(5ML^2) + \frac{4+8(a-1)K^2L}{ML} \log \log Q \\ &\leq \frac{5+8(a-1)K^2L}{ML} \log \log Q. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, by the prime number theorem, there exist

$$(1 + o(1)) \left(\frac{\exp((\log Q)^{\frac{6+8(a-1)K^2L}{ML}})}{(\log Q)^{\frac{6+8(a-1)K^2L}{ML}}} - \frac{\exp((\log Q)^{\frac{5+8(a-1)K^2L}{ML}})}{(\log Q)^{\frac{5+8(a-1)K^2L}{ML}}} \right) \geq \exp((\log Q)^{\frac{5+8(a-1)K^2L}{ML}})$$

primes in the interval $[\exp((\log Q)^{\frac{5+8(a-1)K^2L}{ML}}), \exp((\log Q)^{\frac{6+8(a-1)K^2L}{ML}})]$, by noting that clearly $\exp((\log Q)^{\frac{1}{ML}}) \geq 4 \log \log Q$. Notice that

$$\prod_{\substack{p \text{ prime} \\ \exp((\log Q)^{\frac{5+8(a-1)K^2L}{ML}}) \leq p \leq \exp((\log Q)^{\frac{6+8(a-1)K^2L}{ML}})}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{-1} \leq (\log Q)^{\frac{6+8(a-1)K^2L}{ML}}$$

and

$$\prod_{\substack{\exp((\log Q)^{\frac{5+8(a-1)K^2L}{ML}}) \leq p \leq \exp((\log Q)^{\frac{4+8aK^2L}{ML}}) \\ p \text{ prime}}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{-1} \geq \frac{1}{2}(\log Q)^{\frac{4+8aK^2L}{ML}}.$$

Hence,

$$\prod_{\substack{\exp((\log Q)^{\frac{5+8(a-1)K^2L}{ML}}) \leq p \leq \exp((\log Q)^{\frac{4+8aK^2L}{ML}}) \\ p \text{ prime and } p \nmid w_a}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{-1} \geq \frac{1}{2}(\log Q)^{\frac{8K^2L-2}{ML}} \geq (\log Q)^{\frac{4K^2L}{ML}}.$$

Thus we may choose distinct primes $p_{\mathbf{r},t}$, $\mathbf{r} = (a, j, k, l) \in \mathcal{R}$, $1 \leq t \leq T_{\mathbf{r}}$ in the interval $[\exp((\log Q)^{\frac{5+8(a-1)K^2L}{ML}}), \exp((\log Q)^{\frac{4+8aK^2L}{ML}})]$ satisfying that $p_{\mathbf{r},t} \nmid w_a$ and

$$\frac{1}{2}(\log Q)^{\frac{1}{ML}} \leq \prod_{t=1}^{T_{\mathbf{r}}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_{\mathbf{r},t}}\right)^{-1} \leq \frac{3}{2}(\log Q)^{\frac{1}{ML}}.$$

Repeat this process from $a = 3$ to K , until we complete the choices of $p_{\mathbf{r},t}$, $1 \leq t \leq T_{\mathbf{r}}$ for all $\mathbf{r} \in \mathcal{R}$.

Since $p_{\mathbf{r},t} \nmid w_a$ for any $\mathbf{r} = (a, j, k, l) \in \mathcal{R}$ and $p_{\mathbf{r},t} \mid q_{\mathbf{r},I} - 1$ for any $t \in I \subseteq \{1, \dots, T_{\mathbf{r}}\}$ with $|I| \leq 2ML^2$, we have $q_{\mathbf{r},I} \neq q_{\mathbf{r}',I'}$ for every $\mathbf{r}' = (a', j, k, l) \in \mathcal{R}$ with $a' < a$ and $I' \subseteq \{1, \dots, T_{\mathbf{r}'}\}$ with $1 \leq |I'| \leq 2ML^2$. That is, all these $q_{\mathbf{r},I}$ are distinct. And since there are

$$(1 + o(1)) \left(\frac{\exp((\log Q)^{\frac{4}{ML}}) \cdot \frac{1}{2}(\log Q)^{\frac{1}{ML}}}{(\log Q)^{\frac{4}{ML}} \cdot \frac{1}{2}(\log Q)^{\frac{1}{ML}}} - \frac{\exp((\log Q)^{\frac{4}{ML}})}{(\log Q)^{\frac{4}{ML}}} \right)$$

primes in $[\exp((\log Q)^{\frac{4}{ML}}), \exp(\frac{1}{2}(\log Q)^{\frac{5}{ML}})]$, clearly we have $T_{\mathbf{r}} \geq (2ML^2)^2$.

For each $\mathbf{r} = (a, j, k, l) \in \mathcal{R}$, let

$$W_{\mathbf{r}} = \prod_{\substack{I \subseteq \{1, \dots, T_{\mathbf{r}}\} \\ 1 \leq |I| \leq 2ML^2}} q_{\mathbf{r},I}$$

and let $b_{\mathbf{r}}$ be an integer such that

$$b_{\mathbf{r}}j + a^{|I|-1}k \equiv 0 \pmod{q_{\mathbf{r},I}}$$

for every $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, T_{\mathbf{r}}\}$ with $1 \leq |I| \leq 2ML^2$. Let

$$W = \prod_{\mathbf{r} \in \mathcal{R}} W_{\mathbf{r}}$$

and let b be an integer such that

$$b \equiv b_{\mathbf{r}} \pmod{W_{\mathbf{r}}}$$

for every $r \in \mathcal{R}$. Then

$$W \leq \prod_{\mathbf{r} \in \mathcal{R}} \prod_{\substack{I \subseteq \{1, \dots, T_{\mathbf{r}}\} \\ 1 \leq |I| \leq 2ML^2}} (K^{m_{\mathbf{r}, I}} - 1) < K^{\sum_{\mathbf{r} \in \mathcal{R}} (1 + p_{\mathbf{r}, 1} + \dots + p_{\mathbf{r}, T_{\mathbf{r}}}) 2ML^2} \leq K^{2K^3 L Q^{4ML^2}}.$$

Since

$$\log \log W \ll \log(2K^2 L) + 4ML^2 \log Q \ll (\log \log \log x)^{\frac{2}{3} - 2\epsilon} \cdot (\log \log x)^{1 - \epsilon},$$

we have $W \leq x^{\frac{\epsilon}{2}}$ provided that x is sufficiently large. Let

$$\mathcal{S} = \{x \leq n \leq (1 + K^{-1})x : n \equiv b \pmod{W}\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{T} = \{n \in \mathcal{S} : \omega(nj + a^h k) < L \text{ for some } 2 \leq a \leq K, 1 \leq j, |k| \leq K, 0 \leq h \leq K \log x\}.$$

For any $\mathbf{r} \in \mathcal{R}$, let $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{r}}$ be the set

$$\{0 \leq h \leq K \log x : h \not\equiv |I| - 1 \pmod{m_{\mathbf{r}, I}} \text{ for any } I \subseteq \{1, \dots, T_{\mathbf{r}}\} \text{ with } 1 \leq |I| \leq 2ML^2\}.$$

The following lemma is the key of our proof.

Lemma 2.5.

$$|\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{r}}| \ll \frac{(16ML^2)^{8M^2 L^4} (\log \log Q)^{4M^2 L^4}}{(\log Q)^{2L}} \cdot K \log x.$$

Proof. Suppose that $h \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{r}}$. Clearly, by the pigeonhole principle and the definition of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{r}}$, we have

$$|\{t \in \{1, \dots, T_{\mathbf{r}}\} : \{h\}_{p_{\mathbf{r}, t}} < 2ML^2\}| < (2ML^2)^2,$$

where $\{h\}_p$ denotes the least non-negative residue of h modulo p . Therefore

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{r}} \subseteq \bigcup_{\substack{J \subseteq \{1, \dots, T_{\mathbf{r}}\} \\ 0 \leq |J| < (2ML^2)^2 \\ c \in \mathcal{C}_J}} \{1 \leq h \leq K \log x : h \equiv c \pmod{m_{\mathbf{r}, J}}, (\prod_{0 \leq s \leq 2ML^2 - 1} (h - s), m_J^*) = 1\},$$

where

$$m_J^* = \frac{1}{m_{\mathbf{r}, J}} \prod_{t=1}^{T_{\mathbf{r}}} p_{\mathbf{r}, t}$$

and

$$\mathcal{C}_J = \{0 \leq c < m_{\mathbf{r}, J} : \{c\}_{p_t} < 2ML^2 \text{ for all } t \in J\}.$$

For any $J \subseteq \{1, \dots, T_{\mathbf{r}}\}$ with $0 \leq |J| \leq (2ML^2)^2 - 1$ and $c \in \mathcal{C}_J$, let

$$\mathcal{A}_{J, c} = \left\{ \prod_{0 \leq s \leq 2ML^2 - 1} (m_{\mathbf{r}, J} d + c - s) : 0 \leq d \leq K \log x / m_{\mathbf{r}, J} \right\}.$$

Since

$$\log(Q^{(2ML^2)^2}) = 4M^2 L^4 \log Q \ll (\log \log x)^{1 - \frac{\epsilon}{2}},$$

we have $m_{\mathbf{r},J} \leq Q^{(2ML^2)^2} \leq (\log x)^{\frac{1}{8}}$. Let $z = (K \log x)^{\frac{1}{8}}$. Applying Selberg's sieve method, we have

$$|\{u \in \mathcal{A}_{J,c} : (u, m_J^*) = 1\}| \leq \frac{|\mathcal{A}_{J,c}|}{G(z)} + \sum_{\substack{d|m_J^* \\ d < z^2}} 3^{\omega(d)} |r_d|,$$

where

$$G(z) = \sum_{\substack{d|m_J^* \\ d < z}} \prod_{p|d} \frac{2ML^2}{p - 2ML^2}$$

and

$$r_d = |\{u \in \mathcal{A}_{J,c} : u \equiv 0 \pmod{d}\}| - |\mathcal{A}_{J,c}| \prod_{p|d} \frac{2ML^2}{p}.$$

By Lemma 2.2,

$$\frac{1}{G(z)} \ll (2ML^2)^{8ML^2} \prod_{p|m_J^*} \left(1 - \frac{2ML^2}{p}\right) \leq (2ML^2)^{8ML^2} \prod_{p|m_J^*} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{2ML^2}.$$

Since $|r_d| \ll (2ML^2)^{\omega(d)}$ and $\omega(d) \ll \log d / \log \log d$, we have

$$\sum_{\substack{d|m_J^* \\ d < z^2}} 3^{\omega(d)} |r_d| \ll (2ML^2)^{O(\frac{\log z}{\log \log z})} z^3.$$

And noting that $2ML^2 \ll (\log \log \log x)^{1-\epsilon} \ll (\log \log z)^{1-\epsilon}$, we get $(2ML^2)^{O(\frac{\log z}{\log \log z})} \ll z$.

Thus since $|\mathcal{C}_J| \leq (2ML^2)^{(2ML^2)^2}$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{r}}| &\ll (2ML^2)^{(2ML^2)^2} \sum_{\substack{J \subseteq \{1, \dots, T_{\mathbf{r}}\} \\ 0 \leq |J| \leq (2ML^2)^2 - 1}} (2ML^2)^{800ML^2} \frac{K \log x}{m_{\mathbf{r},J}} \prod_{p|m_J^*} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{2ML^2} \\ &\leq (2ML^2)^{8M^2L^4} \left(1 + \sum_{t=1}^{T_{\mathbf{r}}} \frac{1}{p_{\mathbf{r},t} - 1}\right)^{(2ML^2)^2} \prod_{t=1}^{T_{\mathbf{r}}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_{\mathbf{r},t}}\right)^{2ML^2} \cdot K \log x \\ &\ll \frac{(16ML^2)^{8M^2L^4} (\log \log Q)^{4M^2L^4}}{(\log Q)^{2L}} \cdot K \log x. \end{aligned}$$

□

Let $\mathcal{H} = \bigcup_{\mathbf{r} \in \mathcal{R}} \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{r}}$. In view of Lemma 2.5,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{H}| &\ll |\mathcal{R}| \cdot \frac{(16ML^2)^{8M^2L^4} (\log \log Q)^{4M^2L^4}}{(\log Q)^{2L}} \cdot K \log x \\ &\leq \frac{2K^4 L (32ML^2)^{8M^2L^4} (\log \log \log x)^{4M^2L^4} \log x}{(\log \log x)^{2(1-\epsilon)L}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Suppose that $n \in \mathcal{T}$, i.e., there exist $2 \leq a \leq K$, $1 \leq j, |k| \leq K$ and $0 \leq h \leq K \log x$ such that $\omega(nj + a^h k) < L$. We claim that $h \in \mathcal{H}$. In fact, assume on the contrary that $h \notin \mathcal{H}$. Then for any $1 \leq l \leq L$, letting $\mathbf{r}_l = (a, j, k, l)$, there exists $I_l \subseteq \{1, \dots, T_{\mathbf{r}_l}\}$ with $1 \leq |I_l| \leq 2ML^2$ such that $h \equiv |I_l| - 1 \pmod{m_{\mathbf{r}_l, I_l}}$. Recalling that $n \equiv b \pmod{q_{\mathbf{r}_l, I_l}}$ and $q_{\mathbf{r}_l, I_l} \mid a^{m_{\mathbf{r}_l, I_l}} - 1$, we have

$$nj + a^h k \equiv bj + a^{|I_l|-1} k \equiv 0 \pmod{q_{\mathbf{r}_l, I_l}}.$$

It follows that

$$nj + a^h k \equiv 0 \pmod{\prod_{1 \leq l \leq L} q_{\mathbf{r}_l, I_l}},$$

and $\omega(nj + a^h k) \geq L$.

Thus we get

$$\mathcal{T} \subseteq \bigcup_{\substack{1 \leq j, |k| \leq K \\ h \in \mathcal{H} \\ 2 \leq a \leq K}} \{x \leq n \leq (1 + K^{-1})x : n \equiv b \pmod{W}, \omega(nj + a^h k) < L\}.$$

Notice that

$$\log \log((WK)^{4ML^2}) \leq \log(4ML^2) + 2 \log \log W \ll (\log \log x)^{1-\frac{\epsilon}{2}}.$$

For fixed j, k, a, h , letting $g = (Wj, bj + a^h k)$, by Lemma 2.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &|\{x \leq n \leq (1 + K^{-1})x : n \equiv b \pmod{W}, \omega(nj + a^h k) < L\}| \\ &\leq |\{(x - b)/W \leq n \leq ((1 + K^{-1})x - b)/W : \omega(Wjn/g + (bj + a^h k)/g) < L\}| \\ &\leq \frac{LC^{L-2}(K^{-1}x/W)(\log \log(K^{-1}x/W))^{L-2}}{\log(K^{-1}x/W)} \cdot \frac{Wj/g}{\phi(Wj/g)} \leq \frac{2LK^{-1}C^{L-2}xj(\log \log x)^{L-2}}{\phi(Wj) \log x}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{T}| &\ll 2K^3 \cdot |\mathcal{H}| \cdot \frac{LC^{L-1}x(\log \log x)^{L-2}}{\phi(W) \log x} \prod_{\substack{p \leq K \\ p \text{ prime}}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{-1} \\ &\ll \frac{4K^8 L (32ML^2)^{8M^2L^4} (\log \log \log x)^{4M^2L^4} \log x}{(\log \log x)^{2(1-\epsilon)L}} \cdot \frac{LC^{L-1}x(\log \log x)^{L-2}}{\phi(W) \log x} \\ &\ll \frac{4K^8 (32CM \log \log \log x)^{10M^2L^4} x}{W (\log \log x)^{(1-2\epsilon)L}}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from $W/\phi(W) \ll \log \log W$. Noting that

$$\log((32CM \log \log \log x)^{10M^2L^4}) \ll M^2L^4 \log \log \log \log x \ll L(\log \log \log x)^{1-\epsilon},$$

we have

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{(32CM \log \log \log x)^{10M^2L^4}}{(\log \log x)^{(1-2\epsilon)L}} = 0.$$

It follows that

$$|\mathcal{T}| \leq \frac{x}{4KW}$$

provided that x is sufficiently large.

Finally,

$$|\mathcal{S} \setminus \mathcal{T}| = |\mathcal{S}| - |\mathcal{T}| \geq \frac{x}{KW} - 1 - \frac{x}{4KW} \gg x^{1-\frac{\epsilon}{2}},$$

i.e.,

$$\{1 \leq n \leq x : \omega(nj + a^h k) \geq L \text{ for all } 2 \leq a \leq K, 1 \leq j, |k| \leq K, 0 \leq h \leq K \log x\}$$

has at least $x^{1-\epsilon}$ elements for sufficiently large x .

Remark. Since Turán had proved that $\omega(n) = (1+o(1)) \log \log n$ for almost all integers n , we believe that the result of Theorem 1.1 is far from satisfaction. We have the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1. *For any given large $K > 0$, small $\epsilon > 0$ and sufficiently large (only depending on K and ϵ) x , there exist at least $x^{1-\epsilon}$ integers $n \in [x, (1+K^{-1})x]$ such that $\omega(nj \pm a^h k) \geq (\log \log x)^{1-\epsilon}$ for all $2 \leq a \leq K$, $1 \leq j, k \leq K$ and $0 \leq h \leq K \log x$.*

Acknowledgment. The author thanks Professor Emmanuel Vantieghem for pointing out an error on the history of Polignac's conjecture in the earlier version. The author also thanks Professor Zhi-Wei Sun for his helpful discussions.

REFERENCES

- [1] F. Cohen and J. L. Selfridge, *Not every number is the sum or difference of two prime powers*, Math. Comput., **29**(1975), 79-81.
- [2] J. G. van der Corput, *On de Polignacs conjecture*, Simon Stevin, **27**(1950), 99-105.
- [3] P. Erdős, *On integers of the form $2^k + p$ and some related problems*, Summa Brasil. Math., **2**(1950), 113-123.
- [4] P. Erdős, *Problems and results on combinatorial number theory. III*, Number Theory Day, Proc. Conf., New York 1976, Lect. Notes Math. 626, 43-72, 1977.
- [5] H. Halberstam and H.-E. Richert, *Sieve methods*, London Mathematical Society Monographs, **4**, Academic Press, London-New York, 1974.
- [6] M. B. Nathanson, *Additive number theory. The classical bases*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **164**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1996.
- [7] Z. W. Sun, *On integers not of the form $\pm p^a \pm q^b$* , Proc. Am. Math. Soc., **128**(2000), 997-1002.
- [8] T. Tao, *A remark on primality testing and decimal expansions*, J. Austr. Math. Soc., to appear.

E-mail address: haopan79@yahoo.com.cn

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NANJING UNIVERSITY, NANJING 210093, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC
OF CHINA